THE AUSTRALIAN ACTORS' WELLBEING STUDY: A PRELIMINARY REPORT Ian Maxwell, Mark Seton and Marianna Szabó

BACKGROUND

Performing Arts Medicine coalesced as a discipline following the first Symposium on the Medical Problems of Musicians held in 1983 in Aspen, Colorado, and the subsequent foundation of the Performing Arts Medicine Association (PAMA) in 1988, and of PAMA's journal, *Medical Problems of Performing Artists (MPPA)*, in 1986. Initially a medical organisation limited to physicians, PAMA expanded to include all types of health professionals, as well as performers, educators, and administrators in both music and dance genres (PAMA n.d.). The inclusion of actors under the banner of Performing Arts Medicine, however, has been more recent.

Indeed, in a 2013 bibliographic retrospective, William Dawson notes that from 1960 to 1990 the field addressing performers' problems was known as "music medicine". The earliest article identified by Dawson specifically addressing actor-related wellbeing was on stage fright, in *Psychiatry Quarterly* in 1949 (Dawson 2013, 53). In a related 10 year (1997 to 2007) retrospective bibliographic review Dawson found that from 2002 to 2006 inclusive only 0.2% of all scholarly articles published on performing arts medicine dealt specifically with actors, while articles on musicians' health accounted for 70.8% and dancers' health accounted for 22.6%. Articles on general performing arts health accounted for the remaining 6.4% (Dawson 2007, 154).

In a 1992 editorial for *MPPA*, Alice Brandfonbrener called on medical practitioners to pay more attention to the specific health and wellbeing of actors as performing artists. A bare handful of contributions followed. The first was Randolph Evan's "A Survey of Injuries among Broadway Performers: Types of Injuries, Treatments, and Perceptions of Performers" (Evans et al. 1996), which revealed a high level of physical injuries to dancers and actors—and vocal injuries to actors specifically—in Broadway productions and touring companies. In particular, hazardous features of stages and sets were cited as reasons for many of the injuries suffered. However, the survey did not investigate any psychological or lifestyle-related matters of wellbeing.

Such factors were included in Brandfonbrener's subsequent 1999 review of her own Chicago-based Performing Arts Medicine practice. Here, she acknowledged that the services she provided to theatre patients were "somewhat different" to those "typically required by musicians and dancers, albeit some of the theatrical patients are also singers and dancers" (Brandfonbrener 1999, 24). In addition, she observes that while the numbers of patients seen primarily for psychological symptoms was small, these problems demanded a greater amount of clinical time and effort. A significant proportion of these problems were related to substance abuse: in particular, alcohol.

Brandfonbrener also noted that "problems occasionally arise for an actor in the course of portraying a role because assuming the character's emotions may bring to consciousness some of the actor's own unconscious and unresolved conflicts" (Brandfonbrener 1999, 24). She concludes that this clinic review amply demonstrates why actors should be included "under the umbrella of patients served as performing artists" (Brandfonbrener 1999, 24). However, since, only five scholarly articles and one interview (with an actor) dealing with actors' health and wellbeing have appeared in *MPPA*.

Aside from *MPPA* there have been a handful of articles and unpublished dissertations addressing the psychological impacts of the acting profession in both training and workplace contexts. Richard Owen Geer noted a 1973 study by the psychiatrist Janice Rule, who raised concerns that actors may not always be able to healthily debrief from the roles they play (Geer 1993, 147). Geer takes up Richard Schechner's discussions of post-performance cool-downs in different cultures, while his own survey of various actor trainers' approach to actor's management of the performance cycle reveals that there is no one definitive model (Geer 1993, 151-154). His article concludes with his own proposal for a performance cycle sensitive to the various stages an actor goes through (Geer 1993, 150-151, 155).

The scholarship on actor training has taken up this question. Burgoyne et al., for example, highlight acting teachers' lack of experience in recognising and promoting psychological wellbeing (1999). This study involved interviews with a limited sample of five student (rather than established, working) actors, responding to a broad question about whether or not their acting experiences had had a significant impact on their lives, and, if so, what the impact had been (Burgoyne et al. 1999, 3). The initial interviewees were described as using an 'inside-out' approach to creating a character; subsequent interviews were conducted with three who self-identified as 'outside-in' actors (Burgoyne et.al. 1999, 8). The study found that, in the context of an inside-out approach, actors may use strategies for connecting emotionally with their characters that, in interaction with other conditions, potentially result in the blurring of role/self boundaries:

[w]hen this process is activated negatively, the actor's personal life may supplant the character in performance, leading the actor to lose control onstage. Conversely, the actor's character may take over offstage, with the actor carrying over character behavior into everyday life. A consequence of both processes may be emotional distress. (Burgoyne et al. 1999, 11)

However, the paper concludes that further research was required to identify the particular conditions that may influence whether the consequences are positive or negative, both with regard to the degree of role/self 'blurring', and the actor's ability to control the process (Burgoyne et al. 1999, 11).

The potential for personal distress is linked to actual methods of actor training in Cheryl McFarren's 2003 doctoral thesis. Setting out from her own traumatising audition experience, McFarren interrogates the wisdom and ethics of training techniques that intentionally enable students (consciously or unconsciously) to tap into trauma as a resource for the development and enactment of character. She highlights the fact that acting teachers are neither trained, nor necessarily equipped to recognise, hyper-arousal and dissociative responses in students, or to help process traumatic experiences so that these do not leave a harmful residue (McFarren 2003, 184). McFarren calls upon acting teachers to establish appropriate safeguards in the classroom should a student with a history of trauma become inadvertently triggered by acting exercises, in order to support the mental health and wellbeing of those in training (McFarren 2003, 201).

As of the time of publication, there has been no published population study of actors with regard to their health and wellbeing. However, researcher and actress Danielle Szlawieniec-Haw has recently completed an as-yet unpublished qualitative study of 20 professional Canadian actors, using a phenomenological methodology.

SETTING THE SCENE

Mark Seton took up the question of the wellbeing of actors in training in his 2004 doctoral research, a participant-observational study of actor training in contemporary Australia, in which he noted the pervasiveness of an idea of 'vulnerability' as a desirable, and even necessary, characteristic of successful actors. Student actors were expected to make themselves vulnerable, subjected to the frequently-expressed injunction to 'do whatever it takes ...', which, implicitly could include exposing themselves to behaviours and practices which, in other contexts, might be perceived as bullying, and to possible sexual exploitation (Seton 2004). Seton speculated that this vulnerability might come at a cost to actors' overall wellbeing.

Subsequently, drawing upon his own further research, Seton coined the term 'postdramatic stress', both as an evocation of what actors might be experiencing in their pursuit of emotional authenticity, and as a provocation to training orthodoxies; particularly those which champion extremes of emotional recall (Seton 2008).

In a similar vein, Richard Geer (1993) had used the phrase "emotional hangover" to describe actors' experiences of the aftermath of performance. Other research—Burgoyne et al.'s (1999) study of the impact of acting on student actors, and McFarren's (2003) thesis on acknowledging trauma and rethinking the use of affective memory as an actor-training tool—had canvassed similar questions.

Taking up the challenge of Brandfonbrener's 1992 editorial, Seton established a relationship with Bronwen Ackerman, an Australian physiotherapist and academic on the Board of PAMA, and set about establishing the Australian Society for Performing Arts Healthcare. In 2007 ASPAH was formally launched at its first annual conference, hosted at the Rex Cramphorn Studio at the University of Sydney's Department of Performance Studies. As a founding ASPAH Board member, Seton continued to research what was being done to identify areas of concern for the health and wellbeing of actors.

In 2011, Seton was approached by Mary Cotter, the incoming Director of the Equity Foundation, the professional development arm of Equity, the mission of which is to enhance the lives of Australian and New Zealand actors. Cotter had been alerted by members of Equity's National Performance Committee to a deeply-concerning body of anecdotal evidence about actors' wellbeing. Actors were, it was claimed, experiencing high levels of stress, depression, bullying, sexual harassment, alcohol and drug abuse. Having read Seton's report of his 2009 Churchill Fellowship-funded research into the healthcare of actors in training and in the workplace in the UK and Northern Ireland (Seton 2009), Cotter proposed a collaboration: a project to develop a body of qualitative and qualitative research that might lend some weight to the anecdotes. In response, Seton assembled the team comprising of the current authors. What follows is the preliminary report on the findings of Phase One of the Actors' Wellbeing Study (AWS).

AIMS OF THE STUDY

The aims of the first phase of the AWS, then, were:

- 1. to gather qualitative data about a range of factors pertaining to actors' physical, psychological, and emotional health, and to use that data to compare actors to other populations;
- 2. to gather demographic data about the population of actors;
- 3. to gather data about the training and working experiences of actors; and

4. to use this data to better understand the factors that bear upon the health and wellbeing of actors, and to identify correlations between experiences of training and in the workplace and actors' health and wellbeing.

Method

The first phase of the study, for which this current article is a preliminary report and analysis, was conducted as a self-administered on-line survey, promoted through the Actors' Equity publication and by industrial officers employed by the Media, Entertainment and Arts Alliance.

A pilot survey was assembled by the researchers in consultation with the Equity Foundation, and first offered to a small sample of actors approached directly by the Foundation. In light of feedback from that pilot group, some modifications were made prior to the launch of the survey in April 2013.

The survey remained open to potential participants for a period of 125 days, and re-advertised throughout that period.

The survey results on which this current paper reports are responses to:

- 1. demographic questions, addressing age, gender, place of residence, marital status, and languages spoken by respondents;
- 2. a series of questions about training, including the place in which training took place, and the level of qualification completed. In addition, questions were asked about experiences of health and well-being related education in the course of training;
- 3. questions about the actors' working life, including the length of their time in work, their income from acting and non-acting sources, including details of non-acting work, and periods in which respondents were not actively working as actors;
- 4. questions about actors' practices of warming up for and cooling down from performance;
- 5. questions about experiences of health problems during professional life, about the effects of work-related stress upon relationships, and about experiences of bullying and harassment in the workplace;
- 6. The Satisfaction With Life Scale (SwLS; Deiner, Emmons, Larsen & Griffin 1985);
- 7. The Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS; Lovibond and Lovibond 1995);
- 8. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT; Berman, Bergman, Palmstierna and Schlyter 2003); and
- 9. The Drug Use Disorders Identification Test (DUDIT-E; Berman et al. 2007).

For several questions, respondents were offered the opportunity to elaborate upon their responses in open-ended text boxes. These qualitative responses are not dealt with in this current report.

848 separate commencements of the survey (including some false starts and double attempts) were recorded; after the data set was cleaned up, the total number of respondents was 782. As might be expected, not every participant answered every question; the number of respondents is indicated in the analysis below.

EXISTING DEMOGRAPHIC DATA ON AUSTRALIAN ACTORS

The most thorough research on Australian artists, including actors, as a population, is constituted by the several studies produced by David Throsby and his colleagues (Throsby and Mills 1989; Throsby and Thompson 1994; Throsby and Hollister 2003; and Throsby and Zednik 2010). The focus of these studies is economic, rather than upon wellbeing and health; however, the demographic data they present provides a useful ground against which to test some of the findings for the present research.

Drawing upon data provided by a number of arts organisations (see 2010, 16-17; 95-6) Throsby and Zednik estimated the population of actors in Australia in 2009 to be between six and eight thousand, with the best estimate being the midpoint between those figures: 7,000 (2010, 17). This compares with their 2001 estimate of 6,500 and their 1993 estimate of 4,200, while in 1987, their figure included dancers: 3,400 (1987, 20).

The Australian Department of Employment's 'Joboutlook' website, which sources data from "Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) monthly labour force survey and supplementary surveys" combines actors into a single employment category with "Dancers and Other Entertainers." The site reports that this category has experienced a 31.7% retraction in employment over the past five years, and 40.4% in the past two years (Department of Employment 2012).

RESULTS

This current report presents the basic quantitative demographic, training and work experience findings of the survey, and an overview of responses to the psychometric instruments. In doing so, it presents the most comprehensive existing demographic picture of actors in Australia, and draws some provisional conclusions about aspects of those actors' health and wellbeing.

We do not offer an extensive analysis of the results of the psychometric instruments, nor do we draw upon the significant body of qualitative data collected by the survey, which will be treated in further reports and other publications. Nor does the report develop extended analyses of correlations between different aspects of the data set, such as the relationship between experiences of training and subsequent income levels, workplace experiences and health and wellbeing outcomes. That work will be developed in a book-length publication currently in preparation.

THE ACTORS' WELLBEING STUDY DEMOGRAPHICS

Gender

Of 782 AWS respondents, 449, or 57.4%, were female, 331 male (42.3%). Two respondents did not answer this question. This distribution contrasts dramatically with Throbsy and Zednik 2010, who find that 62% of actors were male, and 38% female

Age (as at August 2013)

The mean age of respondents was approximately 40.17 years; for males, 42.9, and females 38.2. (These figures are approximate, as they are calculated on rounded-down whole year data). The median age of respondents was 37 (females 34; males 42). Joboutlook.gov.au identifies the median age in the category Actors, Dancers and Other Entertainers as being 30.

Table 1 present the age profile of female and male respondents to the survey. Graph 1 combines age data from male and female respondents for comparison. Graph 2 compares the age profile of AWS respondents with that of actors in Throsby and Zednik (2010, 100). Throsby and Zednik did not provide a gender breakdown of age.

Age	Male	Female	Total
15-19	6	5	11
20-24	21	59	80
25-29	54	73	127
30-34	35	88	123
35-39	32	51	83
40-44	33	46	79
45-49	38	33	71
50-54	31	26	57
55-59	24	21	45
60-64	27	23	50
65-69	16	13	29
70-74	9	7	16
75-79	2	1	3
80+	1	1	2

Table 1. Age of respondents.



Graph 1. Combined comparative age of respondents.



Graph 2. Comparison of age data with Throsby and Sednik (2010, 100).

The data from both studies shows that work appears to peak for both male and female actors around the age of 30-32 (we note that joboutlook.gov.au puts the median age for Actors, Dancers and other Entertainers at 30). For women, the decline thereafter is steady and unrelieved; for men, the decline is not so marked, and it seems that more opportunities arise as they enter their 50s, a trend maintained into their 60s. Female actors seem to enjoy a brief window of opportunity in their early 20s.

Country of birth

628 respondents (80.3%), identified Australia as their country of birth. 9% were born in the United Kingdom (n=70); with 31 of those respondents stating England as place of birth, five Scotland, and two Wales. 21 were born in New Zealand (2.7%), and 12 in the United States (1.5%). 17 were from Asia (2.2%) and 16 from European countries (2%).

Languages spoken at home

48 respondents (6.1%) spoke a second language at home. 30 of these were European languages; 8 reported speaking an Asian language. Six respondents reported using a third language at home.

Place of residence (Table 2)

45.3% of respondents (354) live in NSW; 33.5% in Victoria (262), reflecting the distribution of work across the states. Smaller numbers live in Queensland (57, or 7.3%), Western Australia (36; 4.6%) and South Australia (33; 3.7%). Two respondents reported that they had no fixed abode (NFA), and eight live overseas, in the US or New Zealand. Jobsearch.gov.au presents a different distribution of the share of employment for Actors, Dancers and Other Entertainers: NSW 15.8%; Victoria 26.3%; Queensland 22.2%; Western Australia 15.8% and South Australia 10.3%.

NSW	354	45.3%
Victoria	262	33.5%
Queensland	57	7.3%
Western Australia	36	4.6%
South Australia	33	4.2%
Tasmania	13	1.7%
Australian Capital Territory	12	1.5%
United States of America	5	0.6%
No fixed abode	2	
United Kingdom	1	
Northern Territory	1	
New Zealand	1	
United Kingdom/Western Australia	1	
No response	4	

 Table 2. Place of residence.

Relationship status

232 of the sample (29.7%) were single; 208 married (23.9%); 202 (22.9%) were in a de facto relationship, while 71 (9.1%) were in a non-live-in relationship. By way of comparison, 2006 data from Relationships Australia showed 41% of a sample of 1200 Australians in marriages, with a further 20% in 'live-in' relationships and 10% in a non-living together relationship.

Single	232	29.7%
In a relationship (non live-in)	71	9.1%
De facto relationship	202	7.3%
Married	208	25.8%
Divorced/separated	53	6.8%
Widowed	8	1.0%
Other	4	0.5%
No response	4	0.5%

Table 3. Relationship Status.

TRAINING

Proportion of actors who have trained formally

530, or 67.8%, of respondents reported having completed vocational acting training.

71%, or 319 of the 449 female respondents, and 63.7% (211 of 331) males had undergone vocational acting training. Put another way, of the 530 actors reporting having trained, 319 (60.2%) are female; 211 (39.8%) male.

Level of Qualification in acting

237, or 30.3% of respondents had attained a Bachelor's level qualification in acting, with another 24 (or 3.1%) completing an honours degree: a total of 33.4% altogether (Table 4). Throsby and Zednik found that 41% of actors had completed a Bachelor's degree, although they do not specify for a specific qualification in acting (2010, 101). (Joboutlook.gov.au has a figure of 33.9%).

	Male		Female		Total	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%
Certificate IV	11	3.3	17	3.8	28	3.6
Diploma	70	21.1	100	22.3	170	21.8
Bachelor's	92	27.8	145	32.3	237	30.4
Bachelor's with Honours	9	2.7	15	3.3	24	3.1
Graduate Certificate	6	1.8	9	2.0	15	1.9
Graduate Diploma	18	5.4	21	4.8	39	5.0
Master's	3	0.9	12	2.7	15	1.9

PhD DCA	2	0.6	0	0.0	2	0.3
No response	120	36.3	130	29.0	252	32.3

Table 4. Level of qualification in acting.

According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, 16% of Australians have a Bachelor's level degree (as of May 2014; see Australian Bureau of Statistics 2014). Actors, on our figures, are twice as likely to hold a Bachelor's level degree as the population at large. 7% of our sample achieved a Graduate Certificate or Diploma (ABS 2.9%); 2.3% of our sample had completed a postgraduate (Master's or Doctoral) degree (ABS 5.2%).

The gender differences in qualification are marked when comparing numbers within our sample: 145 female actors have a Bachelor's qualification, compared to 92 males. However, when viewed as a proportion of the sample, the difference is smaller 32.3% of female respondents have a Bachelor level degree (35.6% including BA Honours), while for males the figure is 27.8% (30.5%).

Year of graduation

Respondents were asked for their year of graduation from formal training. The results reflect the age distribution, with a marked rise in numbers of graduation from 1999. More research would be required to assess whether or not the actual volume of graduates is increasing at such a level; it is likely that the effect here is due to people leaving the profession, as much as to actual levels of training.



Graph 3. Year of graduation.

Place of training

455 (85.8%) of respondents who had completed formal training did so in Australia; 34 in the UK (6.4%); 11 in the USA (2.1%). The highest proportion of that training

took place in NSW (40.7%), with Victoria (22%) and Western Australia (18.2%) in second and third place. Queensland and South Australia followed up with 11.2% and 6.2% respectively.

United Kingdom	34
United States	11
New Zealand	5
France	5
Germany	1
Ireland	1
Singapore	1
South Africa	1
Unknown	7
Australia	455
NSW	185
Victoria	103
WA	83
Queensland	51
SA	28
Tasmania	5

Table 5. Place of training.

The 530 respondents who reported having trained formally named 125 different institutions or schools, revealing an extraordinary diversity of vocational educational backgrounds. The majority trained in Australia, and the dominant sites of training in Australia were, perhaps predictably, the National Institute of Dramatic Arts (NIDA), followed by the Western Australian Academy of Performing Arts (WAAPA) and the Victorian College of the Arts School of Drama (VCA).

NIDA (NSW)	82
WAAPA (WA)	75
VCA (Vic)	45
QUT (Qld)	20

Australian College of Theatre and Television (NSW)	14
Arts Academy, University of Ballarat/ Federation University (Vic)	15
Actors' Centre (NSW)	13
Flinders Drama Centre (SA)	12
University of Western Sydney (Nepean) (NSW)	12
AC Arts Adelaide/Centre for Performing Arts (SA)	12
Ensemble (NSW)	11
University of Southern Queensland (Qld)	10
Actors' Conservatory (Qld)	10
National Theatre Drama School (Vic)	9
Others	115

Table 6. Training by institution/school.

Inclusions in training

The survey asked a series of questions about actors' recollections of various inclusions in their training, the results of which are shown in Table 7.

Did your training include . . .

	y	les	N	No	No re	sponse
training in psychological wellbeing?	207	39.10%	316	59.60%	7	1.30%
training in maintaining a healthy lifestyle?	316	59.60%	206	38.50%	8	1.50%
training in financial management?	75	14.20%	447	84.30%	8	1.50%
training in maintaining healthy relationships?	145	27.40%	371	70.00%	14	2.60%

Table 7. Inclusions in training.

While respondents did recollect a high level of attention given to general health, psychological wellbeing was not addressed in training to the same extent. Questions of maintaining healthy relationships were not prioritised. Only 14.2% of trained actors reported having received any training in financial management.

WORKING LIFE

Years working as an actor

Respondents were asked to state how many years they had been actively working as an actor. Graph 4 shows something of a threshold at ten years, after which participation drops away, with a couple of spikes around the twenty and thirty years marks. The thirty-year spike corresponds to careers commencing around 1982.



When analysed in gender terms (Graph 5), it can be seen that there is a strong correspondence between male and females' years in work, although there is a larger volume of women who have been working for 12 or fewer years. The '30-year spike' referred to above occurs in both samples, male and female.



Graph 5. Years in work; gender comparison.

Income from acting

The data collected on income for actors presents a picture of extremely low levels of earnings. As Table 8 shows, 319 (40.8%) of respondents reported earning less than \$10,000 from acting in the financial year 2011-2012, with a further 15% reporting income up to \$20,000 (note: all figures are Australian dollars).

	То	otal	l Males		То	tal
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
<\$10,000	319	40.8	110	33.2	209	46.5
\$10-20,000	117	15.0	51	15.4	66	14.7
\$20-30,000	60	7.7	30	9.0	30	6.7
\$30-40,000	40	5.1	23	6.9	17	3.8
\$40-50,000	39	5.0	19	5.7	20	4.5
\$50-60,000	23	2.9	15	4.5	8	1.8
\$60-70,000	24	3.0	12	3.6	12	2.7
\$70-80,000	15	1.9	5	1.5	10	2.2
\$80-90,000	15	1.9	10	3.0	5	1.1
\$90-100,000	12	1.5	6	1.8	6	1.3
\$100-110,000	5	0.6	3	1.0	2	0.4
\$110-120,000	4	0.5	3	1.0	1	0.2
\$120-130,000	2	0.3	2	0.6	0	0.0

\$130-140,000	3	0.4	3	1.0	0	0.0
\$140-150,000	3	0.4	2	0.6	1	0.2
\$150,000 +	11	1.4	8	2.4	3	0.7
No response	90	11.5	28	8.5	60	13.4

Table 8. Gross income from acting work 2011-2012.

Throsby and Zednik's 2010 data put the proportion of actor's gross earnings from 'creative work' falling below \$9,999 at 37%, with a further 20% reporting income of up to \$19,999 (2010, 124). There is, then, a strong convergence between the data sets: Throsby and Zednik find 57% of actors reporting income of less than \$20,000 per annum (2010, 124), while the current study puts that figure at 55.7%.

A higher proportion of female respondents reported earning less than \$10,000 in 2011-2012 from acting work: 46.5% of female respondents, as opposed to 33.2% of male respondents (Graph 6, below). The difference between trained and non-trained actors, however, was minimal, as shown in Graph 7.



Graph 6. Gross income from acting work 2011-2012; gender comparison as percentage.



Graph 7. Gross income from acting work 2011-2012, comparing trained with non-trained actors, as percentage of respondents.

When asked whether this income was more or less than in an average year, 45% of respondents indicated that it was more or less the same; 20.8% said less, 22.4% said more.

More	175	22.40%
About the Same	352	45.00%
Less	163	20.80%
No response	92	11.80%

Table 9. Is this income more or less than your income from performing in an average year?

When asked about their average income over the previous five years, the data presents a slightly rosier picture: 34.5% reported an income of less than \$10,000 on average over the five year period, closer to Throsby and Zednik's 37% (compared to 40.8%, Table 8, above). When broken into gender populations, the figure for males is 27.5% and for females 40% (compared to 33.2%, 46.5% respectively in Table 8). This may suggest a deterioration in income levels over the period 2007-2012. The proportional differences between genders, and between trained and untrained actors, remains broadly the same (Graphs 8 and 9).

	Тс	Total		ıles	То	tal
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
<\$10,000	270	34.5	91	27.5	179	40
\$10-20,000	115	14.7	51	15.4	64	14.3
\$20-30,000	76	9.7	34	10.3	42	9.4
\$30-40,000	56	7.2	23	6.9	33	7.3
\$40-50,000	41	5.2	19	5.7	22	4.9
\$50-60,000	32	4.1	21	6.3	11	2.4
\$60-70,000	25	3.2	17	5.1	8	1.8
\$70-80,000	16	2	9	2.7	7	1.6
\$80-90,000	14	1.8	8	2.4	6	1.3
\$90-100,000	15	1.9	9	2.7	6	1.3
\$100-110,000	2	0.3	1	0.3	1	0.2
\$110-120,000	5	0.6	5	1.5	0	0
\$120-130,000	4	0.5	3	0.9	1	0.2
\$130-140,000	2	0.3	2	0.6	0	0
\$140-150,000	2	0.3	1	0.3	1	0.2
\$150,000 +	13	1.7	7	2.1	6	1.3
No response	92	118	30	9.1	62	13.9

Table 10. Gross average income from acting over past five years.



Graph 8. Gross average income from acting over past five years; gender comparison as percentage.



Graph 9. Gross average income from acting over past five years; trained v not trained, as percentage

Statistics for average income levels are notoriously unreliable. The Australian Bureau of Statistics Household Income and Income Distribution, Australia, 2011-12 report puts average individual wage in Australia in May 2012 at approximately \$55,052 before tax, while the average full-time wage was \$73,600 before tax (Australian Bureau of Statistics 2013).¹

¹ These figures were calculated by annualising weekly data published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics at http://www.ausstats.abs.gov.au/ausstats/meisubs.nsf/0/6D53FBF36837D6EBCA257A 5B00121372/\$File/63020_may%202012.pdf

However, such figures, it should be noted, are distorted by the number of people whose only income is derived from government welfare payments; a 'true' average Australian wage for the relevant time period was likely to be closer to \$50,000 per annum.

Income from other sources

Evidently, actors have to do a lot more than simply to work as actors to make ends meet. Given that 73.6% of our sample reported a gross income below \$50,000 for 2011-12, actors significantly rely on other sources of income. Graph 25 shows the reported distribution of income from other sources, revealing fewer earning less than \$10,000 per annum from these sources than from acting (28.1%, compared to 40.8%), while those earning between \$10,000 and \$30,000 are 34.2% of the sample, compared to 22.7%. On average, then, the actors in our sample reported earning more from work other than acting, than from acting.

	Total		Ma	ales	То	otal
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
<\$10,000	220	28.1	99	30.0	121	26.9
\$10-20,000	157	20.0	58	17.5	99	22.0
\$20-30,000	111	14.2	53	16.0	58	12.9
\$30-40,000	62	7.9	22	6.6	40	8.9
\$40-50,000	48	6.1	19	5.7	29	6.5
\$50-60,000	36	4.6	16	4.8	20	4.5
\$60-70,000	17	2.2	8	2.4	9	2.0
\$70-80,000	8	1.0	4	1.2	4	0.9
\$80-90,000	11	1.4	8	2.4	3	0.7
\$90-100,000	6	0.8	4	1.2	2	0.4
\$100-110,000	4	0.5	4	1.2	0	0.0
\$110-120,000	3	0.4	3	0.9	0	0.0
\$120-130,000	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.2
\$130-140,000	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
\$140-150,000	1	0.1	1	0.3	0	0.0
\$150,000 +	2	0.3	2	0.6	0	0.0
No response	92	118	30	9.1	62	13.9

Table 11. Gross income from non-acting sources, 2011-2012.

A comparison shows less of a disparity between genders for income from non-acting sources (Graph 10). 47.5% of men reported income of less than \$20,000, while the figure for women was 48.9%.



Graph 10. Gross income from non-acting sources 2011-2012; gender comparison as percentage.

There was a negligible difference between non-acting income between trained and non-trained actors (Graph 11).



Graph 11. Gross income from non-acting sources 2011-2012; trained versus non-trained comparison as percentage.

When asked to compare this income from non-acting sources to previous years, 55.4% reported it as being 'about the same'; 15.3% suggested that it was more, and

More	120	15.3%
About the Same	433	55.4%
Less	163	17.1%
No response	92	12.1%

17.1%, less, a minor inversion of the pattern at Table 9: people reported income from other sources as dropping, while income from acting marginally rose.

Table 12. Is this income more or less than your income from sources other than acting in an average year?

Finally, a rough addition of reported incomes from acting and non-acting sources yields a more even distribution of incomes, with around 41.6% of respondents reporting a combined income of over \$50,000 per annum. These figures are very tentative, however, as they have been calculated by adding each respondent's annual income from both acting and non-acting sources, taken as the mid-point of the reporting band for both sources (that is, '\$15,000' for 'between \$10,000 and \$20,000').

	Total		Males		Total	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
<\$10,000	65	8.3	16	4.8	49	10.9
\$10-20,000	101	12.9	40	12.1	61	13.6
\$20-30,000	116	14.8	41	12.4	75	16.7
\$30-40,000	96	12.3	40	12.1	56	12.5
\$40-50,000	79	10.1	31	9.4	48	10.7
\$50-60,000	60	7.7	32	9.7	28	6.2
\$60-70,000	54	6.9	30	9.1	24	5.3
\$70-80,000	21	2.7	10	3.0	11	2.4
\$80-90,000	28	3.6	16	4.8	12	2.7
\$90-100,000	17	2.1	8	2.4	9	2.0
\$100-110,000	8	1.0	5	1.5	3	0.7
\$110-120,000	7	0.9	6	1.8	1	0.2
\$120-130,000	8	1.0	6	1.8	2	0.4
\$130-140,000	4	0.5	1	0.3	3	0.7
\$140-150,000	4	0.5	2	0.6	2	0.4

\$150,000 +	21	2.7	17	5.1	4	0.9
No response	91	11.6	30	9.1	61	13.6

Table 13. Combined gross income (acting and non-acting).

Looked at from the other perspective, however, 36% of respondents reported a combined gross income of less than \$30,000 per annum. The Australian Council of Social Service Poverty Report 2012 placed the poverty line as of 2010 at \$358 per week for a single adult. In the case of a couple with two children it was \$752 (http://ja.com.au/publications/acoss-poverty-australia-report-2012). Annualised, this yields respective figures of \$18,600 and \$39,104. These figures, however, are *after tax*; that is, they represent gross income of around \$19,000 and \$47,500 respectively. At the most optimistic, then (assuming a single person with no dependents), 21.2% of actors report a total gross income that would place them below the poverty line.

General Health

Respondents reported a generally favourable evaluation of their own wellbeing. 71.3% of respondents (70.1% of men, 72.5% of women) assessed their health as being 'Good' to 'Excellent'.

	Т	'otal	Μ	Male		male
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Excellent	105	13.4	37	11.2	68	15.1
Very Good	267	34.1	111	33.5	156	34.7
Good	186	23.8	84	25.4	102	22.7
Fair	66	8.4	34	10.3	32	7.1
Poor	17	2.2	12	3.6	5	1.1
No response	141	18.0	53	16.0	86	19.2

Table 14. In general, self-evaluation of health.

While 39.4% rated their health in general as being 'about the same' as the previous year, 13.7% said it was 'Somewhat' to 'Much' *worse*. 28.9% reported a sense of improved health (Table 15).

Ian Maxwell, Mark Seton and Marianna Szabó

	То	otal	М	ale	Fen	nale
	Ν	%	N	%	N	%
Much Better	78	10.0	25	7.6	53	11.8
Somewhat better	148	18.9	54	16.3	94	20.9
About the same	308	39.4	143	43.2	165	36.7
Somewhat worse	92	11.8	46	13.9	46	10.2
Much worse	15	1.9	10	3.0	5	1.1
No response	141	17.8	53	16.0	86	19.2

Table 15. Compared to one year ago, how would you rate your health in general now?

Women were more upbeat about their health improving, with 32.7% reporting that their health was 'Somewhat' to 'Much' better, compared to 23.9% of men (Table 15).

MANAGING PRACTICE

Warming up

An overwhelming majority of respondents—84%—reported that they regularly used a warm-up routine prior to performance; only 20 (2.6%) respondents reported that they did not (the balance did not respond to the question).

Coping with Work

484 (61.9%) of respondents reported actively taking measures to protect themselves from the physical or psychological effects of being an actor. These ranged from regular regimes of physical exercise and/or sport (44.4%) through to 4.2% using formal life coaching. 20.6% reported using counselling or psychotherapy, while 27.5% regularly practised a 'body' method, such as Feldenkrais, Alexander, or yoga—the kinds of techniques often taught in acting schools. (Note: respondents could report more than one activity in response to this question.)

General Body Training/Sport	347	44.4%
Relaxation techniques	306	39.1%
Body Methods (Feldenkrais, Alexander, yoga)	215	27.5%
Meditation	184	23.6%
Vocal Exercises	296	25.1%

Counselling/psychotherapy	161	20.6%
Life Coaching	33	4.2%

Table 16. Which of these activities do you carry out regularly (once a week over two months)?

Asked whether they had ever experienced difficulties in relaxing or 'letting go' after performing an 'emotionally and physically demanding' role, 303 respondents (38.7%) responded affirmatively, the vast majority citing Live Performance as the context (35.2% of total sample; Table 18); again, respondents could nominate more than a single work context).

Yes	303	38.7%
No	324	41.4%
No response	171	21.9%

Table 17. Have you experienced any difficulties in relaxing or 'letting go' afterperforming an emotionally and physically demanding role?

Live performance	275	35.2%
Film	71	9.0%
TV	57	7.3%
Radio	10	1.3%
Other	14	1.8%

Table 18. Contexts for difficulty 'letting go'.

Respondents were then asked to identify what they did to help them to relax or 'let go' after performing such roles. Friends and family take on a significant debriefing function (342 respondents), while solitary distractions—watching television and reading—are also important (380 respondents). Alcohol figures prominently in the responses, nominated by 335 respondents. Exercise and the application of a range of bodily and meditation techniques were less frequently cited.

Distraction by reading or TV	380	48.6%
Talked to friends/family	342	43.7%
Alcohol	335	42.8%
Exercise	245	31.3%

Meditation	152	19.4%
Yoga/Body methods	151	19.3%
Illegal substance	77	9.8%
Legal substance	41	5.2%

Table 19. What did you do to help yourself relax or 'let go' after performing a
emotionally and physically demanding role?

While the above question addressed actors' self-management as they attempted to 'come out' of performance—perhaps the 'everyday' aspect of dealing with the demands of their craft—a further question addressed more explicitly problematic aspects of work-related stress.

When asked 'Did you ever take one of the following as a result of problems related to your work as a performer?' respondents reported using a variety of substances, as illustrated by Table 20 (again, respondents were free to nominate more than one substance). 287 reported using alcohol *in response to* 'problems related to [their] work as a performer.' 98 reported using prescribed anti-depressants (such as Prozac or Paxil), and 65 using prescribed anti-anxiety drugs such as Xanax. 140 reported using other legal substances (such as herbal or naturopathic remedies), while 87 had used marijuana, and a further 53 illegal drugs, such as cocaine, ecstasy or LSD. Note that the question did not refer to *recreational* use of these medications or substances, but to their use in direct response to performance-related problems. 225 respondents reported using no substances or medications.

Alcohol	287	36.7%
Painkillers	153	19.6%
Legal substances	140	17.9%
Anti-Depressants	98	12.3%
Marijuana	87	11.1%
Anti-Anxiety Medications	65	8.3%
Illegal Meds	53	6.8%
Beta Blockers	29	3.7%
None	225	28.8%

Table 20. Did you ever take one of these substances as a result of problems related toyour work as a performer?

Bullying and Harassment

In addition to with the kinds of challenges of preparing for, performing, and coming down or relaxing after demanding performances, we asked whether respondents had experienced bullying or harassment in their workplace.

206 respondents, or 26.3% of the sample, reported having done so. For men, the proportion was 23%; for women, 29%. Women are more likely to report having experienced harassment or bullying. Of those reporting such experiences, 63.1% were female.

No	473
Yes	206
Male	76 (23% of males in sample)
Female	130 (29% of females in sample)
No response	103

 Table 21. Have you experienced bullying or harassment (sexual, racial) during your work as a performer?

HEALTH AND WORK

Work-related health complaints

362, or 46.3% of respondents reported having suffered from a health-related complaint that affected their ability to perform.

Of those respondents, 19.8% reported a 'Mainly vocal' complaint; 32.5% 'Mainly bodily'; 24.5% 'Mainly psychological; and 23.1% an 'Overall complaint'.

Mainly vocal	72	19.8%
Mainly bodily	118	32.5%
Mainly psychological	89	24.5%
Overall complaint	84	23.1%

Table 22. What was the nature of performance-affecting health-related complaint (percentage of those reporting a complaint)?

For 128 respondents, these complaints were experienced as chronic, having their effect over 'several years'. That is, 16.4% of respondents to the survey reported having a performance-affecting, health-related complaint lasting 'several years'.

Several days	67	8.6%
Several weeks	60	7.7%
Several months	78	10.0%
One year	27	3.5%
Several years	128	16.4%

Table 23. How long have these complaints been lasting? (percentage of overall population)

Testament to the spirit of 'the show must go on', 39% of those suffering from a performance-affecting health-related complaint reported that they 'tried performing despite strong symptoms'; 10.8%, however, reported that performing was not possible.

Performance not possible	39	10.8%
Strongly affected performance	73	20.2%
Tried performing despite strong symptoms	141	39.0%
Slightly affected performance	95	26.2%
Not affected	14	3.9%

Table 24. Impact upon performance of health-related complaint (as percentage of those reporting complaint; N=362).

More generally, 586 respondents, or 74.9% of the sample, offered detailed reports on the effects of work-related stress effects on their psychological and/or physical wellbeing; a further 38 offering minimal details of such effects. That is, 62.4% reported that work-related stress had an impact, to one extent or another, on their wellbeing.

PERFORMANCE ANXIETY

204 respondents—26% of the total sample—reported that they had experienced *debilitating* performance anxiety. Of that 204, 146, or 71.6%, were trained actors.

23.6% of male respondents reported experiencing debilitating performance anxiety, and 28.1% of female respondents.

In simple terms, over a quarter of respondents reported experiencing not just mild, but 'debilitating' performance anxiety at some point in their career. Having trained appears to increase the likelihood of reporting such experiences, while women are more likely than men to do so.

IMPACTS ON RELATIONSHIPS

Financial stress and relationships

As might be expected in light of the income data, respondents reported high levels of stress related to their financial position. 82.6% claimed that financial stress was an issue for them at least 'sometimes'; 27% said that it was 'constantly an issue'.

	Male		Fer	Female		Total	
	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	
Not an issue	25	7.6	18	4.0	43	5.5	
Sometimes an issue	87	26.3	107	23.8	194	24.8	
Regularly an issue	97	29.3	144	32.1	241	30.8	
Constantly an issue	93	28.1	118	26.3	211	27.0	
No response	29	8.8	62	13.8	93	11.9	

Table 25. How often is financial stress an issue for you (percentage of respondents)?

There was little difference in the respective figures for male and female respondents. Non-trained actors reported less frequently that such stress is constantly an issue: 23% against 27% for the whole sample, and 29.6% for trained actors (Table 26). As a general observation, however, the financial stress burden is distributed fairly evenly across the sample.

	Tı	Trained Non-Trained		Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Not an issue	28	5.30%	15	6.40%	43	5.50%
Sometimes an issue	125	23.90%	69	29.40%	194	24.80%
Regularly an issue	171	32.30%	70	29.80%	241	30.80%
Constantly an issue	157	29.60%	54	23%	211	27%
No response	49	9.20%	27	11.50%	93	11.90%

Table 26. How often is financial stress an issue for you?Trained v non-trained actors, as percentage.

41.6% of divorced and separated respondents reported financial stress as being constantly an issue (N=53). Cohabitation appears to shift the level of financial stress from 'regular' to 'sometimes', suggesting that perhaps partners' incomes take the edge off financial stress. As might be expected, divorce and separation reverse the pattern, with a shift from 'sometimes' to 'constantly an issue'.

Ian Maxwell, Mark Seton and Marianna Szabó

	Siı	ngle	Iı relati	n a onship	def	facto	Ma	rried	Divo sepa	orced/ crated
	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Not an issue	8	3.4	3	4.2	25	7.60	16	7.7	4	7.5
Sometimes an issue	47	20.3	16	22.6	87	26.3	71	34.1	7	13.2
Regularly an issue	85	36.6	29	40.8	97	29.3	53	25.5	15	28.3
Constantly an issue	60	25.9	14	19.7	93	28.1	54	26	22	41.6
No response	32	13.8	9	12.7	29	8.8	14	6.7	5	9.4

Table 27. Financial stress and relationship status.

There was a tendency for trained actors who had not received training in financial management (see Table 7, above) to report that financial stress was 'constantly an issue' more frequently than those who had done received such training: of those who had received training in financial management, 24% reported that financial stress was constantly an issue, while 31.1% of those who had not received such training, did so. Training in financial management appears to have an ameliorative impact, then, at the more acute end of the scale.

	Trai fina mana	ned in Incial gement	Not trained in financial management		
	Ν	%	N	%	
Not an issue	4	5.3	24	5.4	
Sometimes an issue	17	22.7	108	24.2	
Regularly an issue	25	33.3	145	32.4	
Constantly an issue	18	24.0	139	31.1	
No response	11	14.7	31	6.9	

Table 28. Of those who had received training in financial management, how often is financial stress an issue?

Impact of work-related health complaints upon relationships

When asked whether performance-related stress (physical or psychological) affected their relationship with friends or family, 41.8% answered 'Moderately' to 'Extremely' (Table 29). Recall the discussion above with regard to actors' reliance on friends and family for support after emotionally and physically-demanding performance (Table 19, above): actors need support networks, while acknowledging that those very networks are strained by the stress of their work.

Not at all	87	11.2%
A little	228	29.2%
Moderately	180	23.1%
Alot	113	14.5%
Extremely	33	4.2%
No response	139	17.8%

Table 29. Does work-related stress affect your relationship with friends and family (Percentage of Sample)?

When then asked to identify the kind of work in which this relationship-affecting stress occurred, 437 participants nominated theatre work, as the source. In comparison, TV and film acting (combined) was cited in 336 cases (Table 29; note, respondents could nominate more than one category).

None	39
Theatre	437
Screen (TV)	155
Screen (film)	181
Opera	25
Musical	127
Dance	42
Other (auditions, role plays, corporate simulation)	95
no response	39

Table 30. In what kind of production has physical and/or psychological stress occurred?

Unsurprisingly, respondents reported high levels of impact of work-caused separation upon their relationships with friends and families. Indeed, the effects

of touring were raised frequently in qualitative responses to the survey. 350 respondents, or 44.8% of the sample, acknowledged the impact of separation, with the effect being most strongly reported among those in de facto relationships (Table 31).

	Yes	No
Single (never married)	88	92
In a relationship	32	28
De facto	108	55
Married	92	87
Divorced/separated	23	24
Widowed	4	2
Other	1	2

Table 31. Does long separation due to work demands impact on your relationships with friends and family?

ACTORS' WELLBEING

As noted above, the survey included a number of psychometric instruments, used in this context to develop an understanding of the health challenges facing Australian actors. In this present paper, we report the broad findings in relation to these instruments.

The Satisfaction with Life Scale (SwLS)

The SwLS (Diener et al. 1985) is a 5-item instrument designed to measure individuals' global judgments of satisfaction with their life in general. Respondents are asked to rate their level of agreement with five statements on a scale between 1 (Strongly disagree) to 7 (Strongly Agree). Items include such statements as "*In most ways my life is ideal*" and "*So far I have gotten the important things I want in life*".

Scores are summed to provide an overall index of life satisfaction, which can range from 5 to 35. Based on these total scores, Diener (2006) provides several categories to describe individuals' level of satisfaction (see Table 32). These categories range from a score of 30-35 indicating that the person is 'highly satisfied', to a score of 5-9 indicating that the person is 'extremely dissatisfied' with their lives. In economically developed nations, average life satisfaction scores range between 20 and 24. In other words, the majority of people are generally satisfied, but they would like to improve some areas of their lives.

30-35	Highly satisfied
25-29	High score
20-24	Average score
15-19	Slightly below average
10-14	Dissatisfied
5-9	Extremely dissatisfied

Table 32. Satisfaction with Life Scale index (Diener 2006).



Graph 12. SwLS results, comparing male and female responses as percentages in the current study (percent of respondents).

The average SwLS score for all respondents in our study was 20.82, placing them at the lower end of the 'Average' range specified by Diener (2006). For males, the average was slightly lower, at 20.11 (median 20), while for females the average was 21.36 (median 22). Indeed, as Graph 12 suggests, female respondents generally report being more satisfied with their lives: 31% of male respondents and 40% of female respondents scored higher than the average satisfaction reported for developed nations in general (Diener 2006). On the other hand, 25% of men and 21% of women in the current sample reported being dissatisfied or extremely dissatisfied with their lives.

There was no difference in life satisfaction between trained and untrained actors (Graph 13), the mean score for trained actors being 20.88, and 20.73 for untrained actors. Identical proportions enjoy above average satisfaction (26% for both groups), while trained actors are less likely to be dissatisfied (22% versus 27%).



Graph 13. SwLS results, comparing trained and untrained actors, as percentages.

Age had no significant effect on life satisfaction (Tables 33 and 34); while satisfaction seems to dip in the 45-54 age range, it does not do so significantly. Actors over 65 report relatively high levels of life satisfaction, although the sample size is too small to draw firm conclusions. This effect is more pronounced with female respondents, but again, given the sample size, it would be inadvisable to infer an effect.

Age	Ν	Mean	Median
<25	56	21.14	19.5
25-34	173	21.40	22
35-44	120	20.66	21
45-54	98	19.44	19
55-64	76	20.63	21.5
65+	40	22.13	25

Table 33. Life Satisfaction and age: mean and median SwLS results by age.

	М	lale	Fer	nale
Age	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
<25	19.22	18	22.05	23
25-34	20.12	20.5	21.96	22
35-44	21.45	22.5	20.24	20
45-54	19.09	19	19.9	19
55-64	19.44	20	22.02	24
65+	21.21	22.5	23.5	27

Table 34. Life Satisfaction scores by age and gender.

The Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales - short form (DASS-21)

The DASS-21 asks respondents to evaluate the extent to which a series of 21 statements applied to them, on a scale from 'not at all' to 'very much', over the week prior to testing. The scales are intended to provide brief measures of the emotional states of depression, anxiety, and stress, and include such items as, for example "*I felt that I had nothing to look forward to*" (depression), "*I felt I was close to panic*" (anxiety) and "*I found it hard to wind down*" (stress). The DASS is a highly reliable measure that has received extensive psychological research evidence for its validity, and it is commonly used in a variety of health settings. Our intention in using the DASS-21 was to develop an understanding of how actors' reports of their emotional wellbeing compare to Australian general adult samples completing the same scales.

In the *DASS Manual*, Lovibond and Lovibond (1995) present Australian sample means and standard deviations for the DASS-42—the full-length version of the DASS. The data set is based on 1044 males and 1870 females with an age range of 17-69 years. It is important to note, however, that Lovibond and Lovibond's 1995 data were collected more than 20 years ago, and that more than half of that sample comprised university students.

More recent normative data have been reported by Crawford et al. (2011), whose sample was drawn from the general community and included less than 20% of respondents in the 18-24-year-old age range. Therefore, Crawford et al.'s sample appear to be more comparable to our current sample in terms of age and educational background. In Tables 4 and 5, we present the Depression, Anxiety and Stress scores obtained by our sample and by the sample reported by Crawford et al. (2011).

	Depression		Anxiety		Stress	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Men (N=254)	5.43	5.11	2.81	3.48	5.60	4.49
Women (N= 327)	4.85	4.69	2.97	3.27	6.16	4.30
Total (N= 581)	5.10	4.88	2.90	3.36	5.91	4.38

	Depression		Anxiety		Stress	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Total (N = 497)	2.57	3.86	1.74	2.78	3.99	4.24

Table 36. DASS-21 means and standard deviations (Crawford et al. 2011).

A comparison of mean scores in Tables 35 and 36 reveals that on average, Australian performers reported significantly higher levels on depression, anxiety and stress than did Australian adults in general. Indeed, scores for depression are twice as high for performers, compared to the general population.

When analysed in relation to age, DASS-21 scores start out high and then drop for the over 55 age group, as per Table 37.

	Male			Female			Total		
	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
<25	18	6.83	4.44	39	5.69	4.46	57	6.05	4.44
25-34	63	6.22	5.50	114	5.20	4.63	177	5.56	4.97
35-44	47	5.00	5.18	78	5.27	4.90	125	5.17	4.99
45-54	57	6.33	5.33	42	4.98	5.52	99	5.76	5.42
55-64	43	3.86	4.68	36	3.66	3.96	79	3.77	4.34
65+	24	3.88	3.94	16	1.13	1.15	40	2.81	3.39
Total*	252	5.43	5.13	325	4.88	4.70	577	5.17	4.90

Depression

Anxiety

		Male			Female			Total	
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
<25	18	5.22	4.43	39	4.10	4.04	57	4.46	4.16
25-34	63	2.86	3.62	114	3.46	3.48	177	3.25	3.53
35-44	47	2.34	3.01	78	2.76	3.15	125	2.60	3.09
45-54	57	3.98	3.88	42	2.95	3.06	99	3.55	3.57
55-64	43	1.60	2.38	36	1.61	1.99	79	1.61	2.20
65+	24	1.21	2.15	16	1.38	1.31	40	1.28	1.84
Total*	252	2.81	3.50	325	3.00	3.28	577	2.92	3.37

		Male Female Tota				e Female			
	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD
<25	18	7.39	4.06	39	7.90	4.45	57	7.74	4.30
25-34	63	6.43	4.45	114	6.76	4.27	177	6.64	4.33
35-44	47	5.85	4.48	78	6.33	4.46	125	6.15	4.45
45-54	57	6.37	4.43	42	6.05	4.17	99	6.23	4.30
55-64	43	3.67	4.22	36	3.64	2.57	79	3.66	3.54
65+	24	3.29	4.06	16	3.50c	3.35	40	3.38	3.75
Total*	252	5.61	4.50	325	6.20	4.29	577	5.94	4.39

Stress

* Note: two male and two female respondents did not provide their ages; hence the difference in this table to that in Table 4.

Гable 37.	DASS-21	results	by age.
-----------	---------	---------	---------

Overall, while life satisfaction does not vary much across the different age groups, younger actors are more likely to report symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress than those who are over 55 in our sample.

AUDIT

The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) was developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) to screen for potential harmful use and alcohol dependence among adults in primary care settings (Saunders et al., 1993). The AUDIT has since been widely used in community populations and in a variety in other settings (e.g., in the workplace, colleges, mental health facilities, or prisons) as well (Reinert and Allen 2002; 2007).

The AUDIT consists of 10 items that address the frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption (for example, *"How many standard drinks do you have on a typical day when you are drinking?"*) and its adverse consequences (for example, *"How often during the last year have you failed to do what was normally expected of you because of drinking?"*). Items are scored from 0 to 4, and can be summed to yield a total score ranging from 0 to 40. Higher scores on the AUDIT predict alcohol-related social and medical problems, mortality, and the recurrence and persistence of alcohol dependence (Babor et al. 2001; Reinert and Allen 2002, 2007).

The World Health Organisation recommends that total AUDIT scores above 8 are indicators of potential harmful alcohol use (Babor et al. 2001, 19). However, there

is now substantial research evidence to indicate that among women, total AUDIT scores above 5 indicate potential harmful use (Reinert and Allen 2007).

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD
Women	327	1	36	6.73	5.10
Men	251	1	37	8.26	6.27
Total	578	1	37	7.39	5.69

In our study, 578 respondents completed the AUDIT. The mean scores are presented in Table 38.

Table 38. AUDIT mean scores.

The mean for male actors in our sample was 8.26, and for females 6.73, indicating that on average, this sample of respondents reported alcohol use at a level that indicate potential harm. The difference between male and female means here is significant: male actors on average consume more alcohol than female actors, and do so on average at levels that are potentially harmful to their health. However, when using the recommended lower cut-off score of 5 for women, it can be seen that on average, this sample of women also report alcohol consumption at potentially harmful levels.

Table 39 further breaks down the results in terms of levels of severity (Babor et al. 2001, 20). When using the same cut-off scores to classify both men and women, 43.1% of male respondents and 35.6% of female respondents report using alcohol at levels that place them at moderate risk of harm or above. 14 male respondents (5.6%) and seven (2.1%) female respondents are likely to be alcohol dependent.

	Scores	Frequency					
		Male		Female		Total	
		Ν	%	N	%	N	%
Low-Risk	0-7	143	56.9	217	66.4	360	62.3
Moderate Risk	8-15	79	31.5	88	26.9	167	28.9
High Risk	16-19	15	6	15	4.6	30	5.2
Likely Dependent	20+	14	5.6	7	2.1	21	3.6
		251		327		578	

Table 39. AUDIT Severity Levels.

	N	Male		Female		Total	
Age	N	Mean	Ν	Mean	Ν	Mean	
<25	18	8.28	35	6.29	53	6.96	
25-34	66	9.23	119	7.86	185	8.35	
35-44	39	8.67	80	6.09	119	6.93	
45-54	59	8.63	42	6.67	101	7.81	
55-64	43	7.09	33	5.90	76	6.58	
65+	25	6.52	17	4.41	42	5.67	

Among actors of both genders, respondents between the ages of 25 and 34 scored highest on the AUDIT, males in this age group recording a mean score of 9.23, women 8.35 (Table 40).

Table 40. AUDIT scores by age and gender.

DUDIT-E

We used the Drug Use Disorders Identification Test—Extended (DUDIT-E, Berman et al. 2007) to assess the extent to which the respondents use a variety of drugs, as well as their perception of the positive and negative consequences of drug use.

The first section of the DUDIT-E asks respondents to report how often they use a variety of legal (e.g. pain killers or sleeping tablets) or illegal (e.g. cannabis) drugs. In the case of pain killers or sleeping tablets, it is specified that these do NOT count as 'drugs' if they have been prescribed by a doctor and are used as prescribed.

Items are scored from 0 (never) to 5 (4 times a week or more). These scores can be summed to provide a total index of drug use, ranging from 0 to 45. Berman et al. (2007) report that in a sample of prison inmates, an average score of 13.9 was obtained on this section of the DUDIT-E.

The average scores obtained by the respondents in our study is reported in Table 10, which shows relatively low levels of drug use. Importantly, however, in our sample of 582 respondents who completed the DUDIT-E, only 21.6% returned a score of 0, suggesting that about 80 per cent of the actors in this study are active users of either legal or illegal drugs.

	Male	Female	Total
Ν	254	327	582
Mean	4.39	3.30	3.77
SD	4.77	3.83	4.29
Median	3	2	3
Range	0-50	0-26	0-50

Table 41. DUDIT-E Totals.

DISCUSSION

This preliminary analysis of quantitative responses to a range of questions about actors' demographic, training, income, work experiences, and the health and wellbeing impacts of the circumstances in which they work, presents a compelling picture of a highly-qualified, highly-skilled, extremely low-paid population, overwhelmingly required to work outside their field of speciality—acting—in order to secure even minimal levels of income.

Australian actors report having trained at a wide variety of public and private drama schools, although the field is significantly dominated by three key institutions: NIDA, VCA and WAAPA. Training partially prepares actors for the challenges of the careers and the lives that they will lead, although it would appear that there is not a great emphasis in training upon the development of financial management skills. Having trained or not does not appear to significantly affect income levels.

Most actors reported regularly using warm-up routines; far fewer reported applying regular techniques for cooling down after performance, particularly after performing challenging roles. Instead, high numbers of actors reported the use of alcohol as a means of unwinding. This appears to often take the form of 'going for a drink' with colleagues after a show.

Indeed, actors use a range of techniques to cope with the ongoing stresses and demands of their work, ranging from a reliance on friends and family, to the use of body, meditation and other wellbeing techniques, to licit and illicit drugs. Actors, as might be expected, identify high levels of stress associated with low levels of income; they also report a tolerance in the face of otherwise debilitating complaints and ailments associated with their work, often choosing to keep working in circumstances in which they report experiencing serious and often long-term symptoms. Actors acknowledged the impact of work-related stress and pressures upon their families and friends. Actors also report using a range of strategies to cope with the more acute pressures associated with demanding roles. In particular, they report using a wide variety of prescribed, over-the-counter, herbal and illicit substances.

Perhaps surprisingly, a quarter of actors reported having experienced debilitating performance anxiety. A similar proportion reported having experienced bullying or harassment in the workplace.

However, in spite of all this, when asked to evaluate their level of satisfaction with their lives, actors generally—remarkably—responded positively, with actors over 65 seeming to look back on their careers with a level of positiveness. (At the same time, we would need to acknowledge both that the sample for over 65s is small; it is also the case that actors who had, over a period of decades, been dissatisfied with their lives, would have self-selected out of the field, and would not have been captured in our survey.)

At the same time, we found that actors in our sample score twice as high as the general population on the depression scale of the DASS: in comparison with Crawford et al.'s normative sample, male actors in particular record concerningly high levels of depression. Indeed, Australian actors reported significantly higher levels on depression, anxiety, and stress than did Australian adults in general. It is important to note that the Satisfaction with Life Scale, upon which the finding of general satisfaction was made, uses a series of questions that explicitly ask respondents to reflect upon and to evaluate their attitudes. The DASS scale, however, asks respondents to report on certain behaviours (in the week preceding the participant's completion of the survey) that are reliably associated with, in the case of the Depression subscale, 'Low Positive Affectivity'. In other words, the DASS does not invite reflection, but seeks evidence of subjective feelings, experiences or behaviour indicative of depression.

On the basis of these findings, we hypothesise that actors are disposed to 'finding the positive' and, perhaps, to maintaining a bearing of optimism and 'good energy', even when the circumstances of their lives are presenting challenges which are having profound effects on their wellbeing. Perhaps, too, there is an assessment along the lines of 'given everything, things are not so bad'. After all, actors might be understood as following and living their passion; it may be, too, that they have entered their profession aware of the challenges to come.

Against this, perhaps, rosy evaluation, we need to place the findings of the AUDIT and DUDIT-E instruments. Specifically, we find that actors are using alcohol at levels well above the World Health Organisation guidelines for healthy consumption. Male actors consume alcohol at levels that are significantly higher than their female counterparts; however, both males and females report alcohol consumption at potentially harmful levels. This finding is consistent with actors' reports, in our survey, of their reliance on alcohol as a means with which to both 'cool down' after performance, and to cope with the more acute effects of demanding roles. It also appears that much of the drinking is associated with forms of sociality linked to working in this field.

Similarly, the findings of the DUDIT-E with reference to drug use raise questions. We find that about 80 per cent of the actors in this study are active users of either legal or illegal drugs; note that this excludes drugs prescribed by a doctor and used as prescribed. Recall, too, the data from the question about the use of substances in response to work-related problems as a performer (Table 19): 98 respondents reported using prescribed anti-depressants; 65 prescribed anti-anxiety drugs; 140 other legal substances; 87 marijuana; and 53 other illegal drugs. This suggests, perhaps, that actors actively self-medicate in response to both the general, long-term pressures of their work and lives, and the acute burdens of demanding roles.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The findings presented above add a compelling, albeit incomplete, empirical perspective to the understanding of actors' lives, pointing towards directions for further analysis and research; research which will embrace a variety of methodologies. The scope of this present article does not allow us to fully explore the data we have collected in this study: for example, the vast amount of discursive ('qualitative') responses to many of the questions, and a body of data associated with eating disorders and body image which has been omitted from this report. These will be addressed in future publications.

On the basis of this report however, we offer five proposals for consideration:

1. Actor training should systematically address aspects of actors' wellbeing, including the maintenance of psychological health, and the imbedding of skills and techniques not only in warming-up for performance—something that appears to be well-established in the field—but for cooling down and debriefing after performance.

2. Actors' financial literacy and capacity to engage in career planning should be addressed as a priority both by training institutions, and by industry stakeholders.

3. The assertion of an industry-wide obligation to provide actors with structured opportunities to cool down and debrief after performance.

4. The urgency of raising actors' awareness about the industry-specific challenges of depression, anxiety and stress, and alcohol- and drug-use.

5. Training institutions and industry stakeholders should develop, implement, and maintain strategies to develop actors' capacity both to recognise these challenges and to foster appropriate behaviours and practices in response.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the Equity Foundation of the Equity Division of the Media, Entertainment and Arts Alliance for their support of the research upon which this report is based. In particular our thanks go to Mary Cotter, Director of the Foundation.

References

Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2013. "Household Income and Income Distribution, Australia, 2011-12." Accessed 29 May 2015. http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@. nsf/mf/6523.0

———. 2014. "Level of Highest Non-School Qualification." Accessed 28 May 2015. http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Latestproducts/6227.0Main%20 Features2May%202014?opendocument&tabname=Summary&prodno=6227.0&i ssue=May%202014&num=&view=

Babor, Thomas F., John C. Higgins-Biddle, John B. Saunders and Maristela G. Monteiro. 2001. *The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: Guidelines for Use in Primary Care*. 2nd Edition. World Health Organisation, Department of Mental Health and Substance Dependence. Accessed 19 May 2015. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2001/who_msd_msb_01.6a.pdf

Berman, Anne H, Tom Palmstierna, Håkan Källmén and Hans Bergman 2007. "The self-report Drug Use Disorders Identification Test: Extended (DUDIT-E): reliability, validity, and motivational index." *Journal of Substance Abuse* 32(4): 357-69.

Brandfonbrener, Alice. 1992. "The Forgotten Patients." *Medical Problems of Performing Artists* 7(4): 101–102.

---. 1999. "Theatrical Patients in a Performing Arts Practice." *Medical Problems of Performing Artists* 14(1): 21-24.

Burgoyne, Suzanne, Karen Poulin and Ashley Rearden. 1999. "The impact of acting on student actors: Boundary blurring, growth, and emotional distress." *Theatre Topics* 9(2): 157–179.

Crawford, John, Carol Cayley, Peter Lovibond, Peter Wilson and Caroline Hartley. 2011. "Percentile norms and accompanying interval estimates from an Australian general adult population sample for self-report mood scales (BAI, BDI, CRSD,

CES-D, DASS, DASS-21, STAI-X, STAI-Y, SRDS, and SRAS)." The Australian Psychologist 46, 3-14.

Dawson, William. 2007. "The Bibliography of Performing Arts Medicine. A 10-Year Retrospective Review (Part II)." *Medical Problems of Performing Artists* 22(4): 153-159.

— 2013. "Performing Arts Medicine—A Bibliographic Retrospective of the Early Literature: An Historical Examination of Bibliographic References Pre-1975." *Medical Problems of Performing Artists* 11(1): 15-19.

Deiner, Ed, Robert A. Emmons, Randy J. Larsen and Sharon Griffin. 1985. "The Satisfaction With Life Scale." *Journal of Personality Assessment* 49(1): 71-75. Evans, Randolph W., Richard I. Evans and Scott Carvajal. 1996. 1996. "A Survey of Injuries among Broadway Performers: Types of Injuries, Treatments, and Perceptions of Performers." *Medical Problems of Performing Artists* 28(1): 47-53.

Deiner, Ed. 2006. "Understanding Scores on the Satisfaction with Life Scale." Accessed 30 May 2015. http://internal.psychology.illinois.edu/~ediener/ Documents/Understanding%20SWLS%20Scores.pdf

Department of Employment, Australian Government. 2012. "Joboutlook: Actors, Dancers and Other Entertainers." Accessed 20 May 2015. http://joboutlook.gov. au/.occupation.aspx?search=alpha&code=2111

Geer, Richard O. 1993. "Dealing with Emotional Hangover: Cool-down and the Performance Cycle in Acting." *Theatre Topics* 3(2): 147–158.

Lehmann, Hans-Thies. 2006. *Postdramatic Theatre*. Translated by Karen Jürs-Munby. London and New York: Routledge.

Lovibond, Sydney H. & Peter F. Lovibond. 1995. *Manual for the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales*. (2nd. Ed.) Sydney: Psychology Foundation.

McFarren, Cheryl K. 2003. Acknowledging Trauma/Rethinking Affective Memory: Background, Method, and Challenge for Contemporary Actor Training. Diss., University of Colorado.

Performing Arts Medicine Association. n.d. "PAMA History." Accessed 28 May 2015. http://www.artsmed.org/about.

Reinert, Duane F. and John P. Allen. 2002. "The Alcohol Use Disorders. Identification Test (AUDIT): A Review of Recent Research." *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 26(2): 272-279.

---. 2007. "The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: An Update of Research Findings." *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 31(2): 185-199.

Saunders, John B., Olaf G. Aasland, Thomas F. Babor, Juan R. De La Fuente and Marcus Grant. 1993. "Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with Harmful Alcohol Consumption-II." *Addiction* 88(6): 791-804.

Seton, Mark C. 2004. Forming (in)vulnerable bodies: Intercorporeal experiences in Sites of Actor Training in Australia. Thesis (PhD). University of Sydney.

———. 2008. "'Post-dramatic Stress': Negotiating Vulnerability for Performance. In *Being There: After-Proceedings of the 2006 Conference of the Australasian Association for Drama, Theatre and Performance Studies*, edited by Ian Maxwell. Sydney: University of Sydney eScholarship Repository. Accessed October 8, 2014. http://ses.library. usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/2518.

-----. 2009. THE GILBERT SPOTTISWOOD CHURCHILL FELLOWSHIP to study holistic healthcare of actors in training and in the workplace. Accessed November 10 2014. https://www.churchilltrust.com.au/fellows/detail/3387/Mark+SETON PhD

Seton, Mark C., Ian Maxwell and Marianna Szabó. 2013. "Professional actors' health and wellbeing: Initial Findings from National Survey 2013." Paper presented at the 2013 Annual Conference, of the Australian Society for Performing Arts Healthcare, Brisbane, Queensland, November: 23–24.

Throsby, David and Devon Mills. 1989. "When are you going to get a real job?" An *Economic Study of Australian Artists*. North Sydney: The Australia Council.

Throsby, David and Beverley Thompson. 1994. "But what do you do for a living?" A New Economic Study of Australian Artists Strawberry Hills, NSW: Australia Council.

Throsby, David and Virginia Hollister. 2003 "Don't give up your day job": an economic study of professional artists in Australia Surry Hills, [N.S.W.]: Australia Council

Throsby, David and Anita Zednik. 2010. "Do You Really Expect to Get Paid?" An Economic Study of Professional Artists in Australia. Strawberry Hills, NSW: Australia Council.